



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,866	09/19/2006	Herve Bercovier	27637U	2826
20529	7590	11/10/2010	EXAMINER	
THE NATH LAW GROUP			DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N	
112 South West Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314			1645	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/10/2010		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/589,866	BERCOVIER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) S. Devi (USPTO). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Attorney Ari Zytcer. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 November 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant's amendment to the specification filed 02/16/2010.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/S. Devi, Ph.D./  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed:

Attorney Zytcer was informed that Applicants' amendment made to the first paragraph of the specification via the amendment filed 02/16/2010 introduces priority information that does not belong to this case, but to a different case. Attorney Zytcer would file a 312 amendment by 11/10/10 to correct this issue.

/S. Devi/  
Primary Examiner  
AU 1645

11-08-2010